

AMERICAN METEOROLOGICAL SOCIETY

Journal of Physical Oceanography

EARLY ONLINE RELEASE

This is a preliminary PDF of the author-produced manuscript that has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. Since it is being posted so soon after acceptance, it has not yet been copyedited, formatted, or processed by AMS Publications. This preliminary version of the manuscript may be downloaded, distributed, and cited, but please be aware that there will be visual differences and possibly some content differences between this version and the final published version.

The DOI for this manuscript is doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-17-0190.1

The final published version of this manuscript will replace the preliminary version at the above DOI once it is available.

If you would like to cite this EOR in a separate work, please use the following full citation:

Dosser, H., and M. Timmermans, 2017: Inferring circulation and lateral eddy fluxes in the Arctic Ocean's deep Canada Basin using an inverse method. J. Phys. Oceanogr. doi:10.1175/JPO-D-17-0190.1, in press.

© 2017 American Meteorological Society

Click here to download LaTeX File (.tex, .sty, .cls, .bst, .bib) ≛ main.tex



E-mail: hayley.dosser@yale.edu

ABSTRACT

The deep waters in the Canada Basin display a complex temperature and 8 salinity structure, the evolution of which is poorly understood. The funda-9 mental physical processes driving changes in these deep water masses are 10 investigated using an inverse method based on tracer conservation combined 11 with empirical orthogonal function analysis of repeat hydrographic measure-12 ments between 2003 and 2015. Changes in tracer fields in the deep Canada 13 Basin are found to be dominated by along-isopycnal diffusion of water proper-14 ties from the margins into the central basin, with advection by the large-scale 15 Beaufort Gyre circulation, as well as localized vertical mixing, playing impor-16 tant secondary roles. In the Barents Sea Branch of the Atlantic Water Layer, 17 centered around 1200 m depth, diffusion is shown to be nearly twice as impor-18 tant as advection to lateral transport. Along-isopycnal diffusivity is estimated 19 to be \sim 300-600 m² s⁻¹. Large-scale circulation patterns and lateral advective 20 velocities associated with the anticyclonic Beaufort Gyre are inferred, with an 21 average speed of 0.6 cm s⁻¹. Below about 1500 m, along-isopycnal diffusiv-22 ity is estimated to be $\sim 200-400 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$. 23

24 1. Introduction

Water properties in the Canada Basin, in the Arctic Ocean, are set by the temperature and salin-25 ity of the source waters and by the processes driving water mass evolution. In the deep Canada 26 Basin, the circulation and mixing environment are poorly understood, with limited direct observa-27 tions of dissipation and velocity. In this paper, we focus on the deep water masses, found below 28 roughly 700 m depth. Using yearly hydrographic profile data from 2003 to 2015, we investigate 29 and quantify the processes driving changes in water mass properties using empirical orthogonal 30 function (EOF) analysis and an inverse method based on the tracer conservation equations adapted 31 for the deep Canada Basin. 32

Water masses in the Canada Basin are largely defined based on the origin of the inflow. Between roughly 200 m and 2000 m depth, water of Atlantic origin enters the basin in a boundary current and a series of intrusive features. The Atlantic Water is divided into two branches: Fram Strait Branch Water (FSBW) and the deeper, cooler Barents Sea Branch Water (BSBW). BSBW is modified at the surface in the Barents Sea (Rudels et al. 2004) and found from roughly 700-2000 m depth in the Canada Basin.

The dominant circulation features affecting the Atlantic Water are thought to be a narrow, topographically steered boundary current and the wind-driven Beaufort Gyre. The boundary current transports Atlantic Water cyclonically around the basin, with inflow near the Northwind Ridge and Chukchi Borderland (e.g., see Woodgate et al. 2007). Spall (2013) used an idealized eddyresolving numerical model to show that lateral eddy fluxes and vertical mixing in the basin interior are likely important factors in driving the cyclonic Atlantic Water boundary current circulation. The anticyclonic Beaufort Gyre characterizes the central basin circulation (Newton and Coach-

⁴⁶ man 1974; McLaughlin et al. 2009; Proshutinsky et al. 2009; McPhee 2013). Analyzing data

3

between 1993 and 2007, McLaughlin et al. (2009) tracked a warm temperature anomaly in the 47 FSBW as it spread across the Canada Basin, and concluded that transport occurred through a com-48 bination of advection by the gyre and lateral spreading in intrusions (i.e., thermohaline features 49 which were studied in detail by Walsh and Carmack (2002, 2003)). Woodgate et al. (2007) used 50 hydrographic data from a single expedition in 2002 to map anomalously warm FSBW and cool 51 BSBW intrusions from the boundary current across the Chukchi Borderland to the Canada Basin. 52 Below the BSBW lies a layer of relatively cool water, which forms a deep temperature minimum 53 in the Canada Basin centered around roughly 2500 m depth (Timmermans et al. 2003, 2005; Car-54 mack et al. 2012). The \sim 500-1000 m thick Deep Temperature Minimum Layer (DTML) overlies 55 the Canada Basin bottom water. The relatively cool water in the DTML likely enters the Canadian 56 Basin from the Eurasian Basin (e.g., see Rudels et al. 2000), with the Canada Basin bottom wa-57 ters likely being relic waters from previous dense water renewal hundreds of years ago (e.g., see 58 Macdonald et al. 1993; Timmermans and Garrett 2006). 59

The bottom water layer is vertically homogeneous in both temperature and salinity and is kept 60 well mixed by thermal convection driven by geothermal heat (Timmermans et al. 2003; Carmack 61 et al. 2012). Analyzing hydrographic data from 1993, 1997, and yearly from 2002 to 2010, Car-62 mack et al. (2012) observed the DTML and homogeneous bottom water warming at a constant rate 63 of roughly 0.004° C per decade, which appears to be associated with a buildup of geothermal heat. 64 The presence of a deep double-diffusive staircase at the base of the DTML suggests limited turbu-65 lent mixing of heat from the bottom water into the DTML, although there is evidence of enhanced 66 turbulent mixing and density overturns on the slope (Timmermans et al. 2003). 67

Velocity measurements are rare in these deep waters, and the weak flow magnitudes are difficult to resolve. However, characterization of the flow field is possible through analysis of the temperature and salinity fields. The goal of the present analysis is to investigate changes in water mass ⁷¹ properties and quantify lateral transport mechanisms in the deep Canada Basin from hydrographic
⁷² data. We begin by using EOF analysis to determine spatial and temporal variations in the proper⁷³ ties of the BSBW and DTML water masses, and examine how properties vary with depth across
⁷⁴ the basin. We infer dominant mechanisms of lateral transport of water mass properties, which are
⁷⁵ further quantified by an inverse method.

The inverse method approach used is designed to determine the horizontal velocity field and 76 along-isopycnal diffusivity that best explain observed changes in tracers - here, temperature and 77 salinity - on isopycnal surfaces. Estimates are calculated from the best fit solution to an over-78 determined set of equations derived from the temperature and salinity conservation equations 79 in advective-diffusive form. The velocity field is additionally constrained using a geostrophic 80 streamfunction, however the method does not require an assumed level of no-motion (or level of 81 known-motion); the magnitude of the advective velocity is determined based on the temperature 82 and salinity fields. 83

The inverse method is conceptually similar to those of Lee and Veronis (1991) and Zika et al. 84 (2010), although the results of the inversion are limited to along-isopycnal diffusivity and velocity, 85 rather than three-dimensional fields. Unlike the beta-spiral method (Stommel and Schott 1977) 86 or the Bernoulli inverse method (Killworth 1986), the method is based on changes in a tracer 87 field. To deal with the limitations inherent in the available data, and the small signals common to 88 the quiescent deep Arctic, the method used is deliberately simplistic. It is designed to provide an 89 order-of-magnitude estimate of the quantities of interest, which are then interpreted in combination 90 with the results of the EOF analysis. 91

In section 2, we describe the repeat hydrographic survey data used in the analysis and the typical salinity and temperature structure observed in the deep Canada Basin. In section 3, we describe the inverse method and discuss the requirements for its implementation. Section 4 presents results

5

⁹⁵ from the EOF analysis that qualitatively and quantitatively describe water mass changes. Section 5
 ⁹⁶ presents results from the inverse method and estimates of lateral advective velocity and diffusivity
 ⁹⁷ for each water mass. These results, in combination with the results of the EOF analysis, are
 ⁹⁸ discussed and summarized in section 6.

2. Data and Water Mass Description

¹⁰⁰ a. Repeat hydrographic profiles

¹⁰¹ Full-depth repeat hydrographic profiles were collected yearly in the Canada Basin during ¹⁰² summer surveys of the Joint Ocean and Ice Studies (JOIS) and Beaufort Gyre Observing ¹⁰³ System (BGOS) programs; we use data from 2003 to 2015 which are available online at ¹⁰⁴ www.whoi.edu/beaufortgyre. Conductivity-Temperature-Depth (CTD) instrument resolution is ¹⁰⁵ ~0.0002 for salinity and 0.0003°C for temperature. CTD instrument accuracy is ± 0.002 for salin-¹⁰⁶ ity, $\pm 0.001°$ C for temperature, and ± 2 m for depth (see Carmack et al. 2012). We use 1 m ¹⁰⁷ vertically averaged data.

The typical hydrographic sampling pattern (Figure 1a) provides approximately repeat spatial coverage. The Canada Basin is 3500-3800 m deep, with a slope in the southeast. A limited number of hydrographic profiles are available from the basin margins and the slope. The analysis is restricted to profiles in the deep Canada Basin, which we define as locations where the ocean bottom depth exceeds 3000 m, determined using the International Bathymetric Chart of the Arctic Ocean (IBCAO) (Jakobsson et al. 2012). The influence of processes on the slope and near the basin margins is discussed in section 6.

115 b. Water mass properties

Typical temperature and salinity profiles are shown in Figure 1b,c. Below a shallow mixed 116 layer and water of Pacific origin, two branches of the Atlantic Water enter the basin in a series of 117 thermohaline intrusions, which are visible in a temperature-salinity (T-S) diagram as 'zig-zags' 118 (Figure 1b). Temperature and salinity increase with depth to the FSBW temperature maximum. 119 Below the FSBW, smaller but more numerous intrusions carry cool BSBW from the boundary cur-120 rent into the deep basin. The thermohaline intrusions observed in the FSBW and BSBW spread 121 laterally on near-isopycnal surfaces across Arctic basins (Carmack et al. 1998), exchanging prop-122 erties through double-diffusive fluxes. The intrusions gradually change density as they spread as 123 a result of vertical flux divergences, which are hypothesized to drive lateral fluxes (Carmack et al. 124 1998). Intrusions were first observed in the Canadian Basin in 1993 (Carmack et al. 1995), and 125 had spread across the central Canada Basin by 2003 (McLaughlin et al. 2009). The DTML is char-126 acterized by a minimum in potential temperature at roughly 2500 m depth, below which presumed 127 double-diffusive layers are evident (Timmermans et al. 2003, Figure 1c (inset)). 128

The analysis here focuses on the BSBW and DTML, but also includes the FSBW, to enable 129 comparison with a water mass for which the dynamics are better understood. Since the bottom 130 water below the DTML is vertically and approximately laterally homogeneous (Carmack et al. 131 2012), it is not included in the analysis. We consider temperature and salinity variations along 132 isopycnals (defined using potential density referenced to the surface). As discussed in Zika et al. 133 (2010), determining the magnitude of advection and diffusion of tracers using an inverse method 134 is best accomplished in an isopycnal reference frame. The use of potential density referenced 135 to the surface as opposed to a deeper level does not significantly impact the results, either qual-136 itatively or quantitatively. In particular, if a deep reference level (e.g., 1000 m) is used instead, 137

the resulting estimates of diffusivity and velocity are well within the estimated uncertainty. The 28.097 kg m⁻³ isopycnal (potential density anomaly) is referred to as characteristic of the DTML, and the 28.010 kg m⁻³ and 27.925 kg m⁻³ isopycnals as characteristic of the BSBW and the FSBW, respectively.

We focus on potential temperature as the tracer of interest, and note that temperature and salinity are interdependent but effectively passive tracers on isopycnals in the deep Canada Basin. A given temperature anomaly is density-compensated by changes in salinity on an isopycnal, with salinity variations an order of magnitude smaller than temperature variations. Results are reported only for the potential temperature field, however we find that they are qualitatively and quantitatively similar (to well within uncertainty) if salinity is used instead.

148 c. Time evolution

Observations indicate that the temperature of each of the three water masses of interest varies over the course of the record (Figure 2). The FSBW in the central Canada Basin exhibits a general warming since 2003, the BSBW cooled at a constant rate over the course of the record from 2003 to 2015, while the DTML gradually warmed over the same time period.

The FSBW entering the Canada Basin in the early 2000s was characterized by anomalously warm maximum temperatures, $> 0.5^{o}$ C up to $\sim 1^{o}$ C, and increased salinity (McLaughlin et al. 2009). The warming trend in the FSBW in the Canada Basin began to level off in the mid-2000s, reflecting changes in the temperature of the boundary current inflow.

¹⁵⁷ Between 2003 and 2015, the BSBW on $\sigma = 28.01$ kg m⁻³ cooled by -2.8×10^{-3} °C yr⁻¹ and ¹⁵⁸ freshened at a rate of -1.9×10^{-4} yr⁻¹, with similar rates of cooling and freshening at all stations ¹⁵⁹ within the deep basin. This change in water properties occurred at an approximately constant rate ¹⁶⁰ over the time period of interest. Woodgate et al. (2007) observed a cold anomaly in the BSBW in the Chukchi Borderland in 2002 with temperatures up to 0.5° C colder than the mean, associated with continual cooling and freshening of the inflow in the boundary current.

¹⁶³ Between 2003 and 2015, the DTML on $\sigma = 28.097$ kg m⁻³ warmed at a nearly constant rate ¹⁶⁴ of 3.5×10^{-4} °C yr⁻¹, with a linear increase in salinity of 2.2×10^{-5} yr⁻¹; these trends are of the ¹⁶⁵ opposite sign and an order of magnitude smaller than the temperature and salinity trends character-¹⁶⁶ izing the BSBW. Carmack et al. (2012) related warming of the DTML to geothermal heating; we ¹⁶⁷ additionally seek to address spatial variations in the temperature of the DTML, including lateral ¹⁶⁸ gradients and the presence of anomalously warm water near the basin margins and on the slope.

169 d. Spatial variations

Spatial patterns associated with the temperature of each water mass are presented qualitatively by objectively mapping all hydrographic profile data from 2003 to 2015, after first subtracting the isopycnal-mean potential temperature anomaly for each year (Figure 3). Temperature variations are qualitatively different for each water mass, reflecting the inflow of cold BSBW in the boundary current, as opposed to warm anomalies for the FSBW and DTML.

The warmest FSBW is near the boundary current inflow at the northern part of the Northwind 175 Ridge. McLaughlin et al. (2009) related warming of the FSBW in the north of the Canada Basin 176 to northeastward advection by the anticyclonic Beaufort Gyre. The BSBW is coldest near the 177 boundary current inflow, with a clear east-west temperature gradient ($\mathcal{O}(10^{-7} \text{ °C m}^{-1})$ on $\sigma =$ 178 28.01 kg m⁻³). The DTML has a similar spatial pattern to that of the BSBW in the deep basin, 179 but with the opposite sign gradient; warmer in the west and cooler in the east ($\mathcal{O}(10^{-8} \text{ oC m}^{-1})$) 180 on $\sigma = 28.097$ kg m⁻³). The warmest water in the DTML is on the slope in the southeast and 181 near the basin margins, rather than in the northern basin as for the FSBW. These spatial patterns 182 are similar on all isopycnals within each water mass, and are quantified in section 4. 183

3. Inverse Method Theory

The inverse method originates from the tracer conservation equation in advective-diffusive form. Our goal is to determine large-scale lateral velocity and diffusivity estimates that best explain the observed tracer distribution. We make several simplifying assumptions, the validity of which are discussed further in the following sections.

We assume that across-isopycnal transport has a negligible effect on tracer properties relative to along-isopycnal transport. The presence and persistence of intrusions (Walsh and Carmack 2002, 2003) and double-diffusive staircases (Timmermans et al. 2003, 2008; Bebieva and Timmermans 2016) in the Canada Basin reflect low levels of mechanical mixing supported by observations (Rainville and Winsor 2008; Guthrie et al. 2013; Dosser and Rainville 2016). This assumption is likely inappropriate on the slope and near the margins of the basin, where the absence of a deep double-diffusive staircase suggests enhanced turbulent mixing (Timmermans et al. 2003).

¹⁹⁶ Along-isopycnal eddy processes are parameterized in terms of a lateral diffusivity, κ_H , following ¹⁹⁷ the standard Reynolds averaging approach, and this lateral diffusivity is assumed to be approxi-¹⁹⁸ mately constant on any isopycnal. This is likely a poor approximation, as it is known that eddy ¹⁹⁹ kinetic energy varies across the Canada Basin (Zhao et al. 2016), and as such the diffusivity should ²⁰⁰ be treated as an approximate average on a given isopycnal.

There is no significant trend in the spacing between isopycnals in the deep water masses. Further, hydrographic profiles represent only a single snapshot of the basin stratification for each year. Therefore, divergence and convergence between isopycnals is not explicitly accounted for in the governing equations. The effect of eddy fluxes on along-isopycnal transport of tracer is captured by the lateral diffusion term.

10

²⁰⁶ Applying the above assumptions to the advective-diffusive form of the potential temperature (θ) ²⁰⁷ conservation equation, and assuming no sources or sinks in the deep basin, yields:

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + u_g \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_\sigma} + v_g \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y_\sigma} = \kappa_H \left(\frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial x_\sigma^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial y_\sigma^2} \right),$$

where x_{σ} and y_{σ} are defined as the lateral, along-isopycnal coordinates, with z_{σ} as the normal, across-isopycnal coordinate. Hereafter we drop the ' σ ' on x, y, z and use subscripts for derivatives. Assuming that lateral circulation is predominantly geostrophic, the geostrophic velocity $\vec{u}_g = (u_g, v_g)$ may be written in terms of a streamfunction ψ as $u_g = -\partial_y \psi$, $v_g = \partial_x \psi$. Here these velocities represent flow along isopycnal surfaces:

$$\partial_t \theta - \partial_v \psi \, \partial_x \theta + \partial_x \psi \, \partial_v \theta = \kappa_H \, (\partial_{xx} \theta + \partial_{yy} \theta). \tag{1}$$

The direction and magnitude of the velocity field are determined separately, which begins by 213 writing the streamfunction in separable form: $\psi(x, y, z) = \Psi(z) \tilde{\psi}(x, y)$. Here Ψ is a streamfunction 214 amplitude determined from the inverse method (section 5a), and $\tilde{\psi}$ captures the spatially varying 215 component of the streamfunction (i.e., defines the shape of streamfunction contours, section 4e). 216 Vertical velocity is assumed to be negligible for the gyre flow over the deep Canada Basin 217 abyssal plain (where variations in the Coriolis parameter are negligible, i.e., an f-plane); as a 218 consequence, on a given horizontal surface, horizontal pressure gradients are everywhere paral-219 lel to horizontal density gradients (see e.g., Hughes and Killworth 1995). Contours of constant 220 density on a horizontal plane therefore have the same shape as geostrophic streamfunction con-221 tours, $\tilde{\psi}$. Note that to estimate $\tilde{\psi}$ in isopycnal coordinates (section 4e), depth contours of a given 222 isopycnal are used (equivalent to the use of isopycnal contours at a given depth level). Then 223 $\vec{u}_g = \Psi(-\partial_y \tilde{\psi}, \partial_x \tilde{\psi}) = \Psi(\tilde{u}_g, \tilde{v}_g)$, and (1) becomes: 224

$$\partial_t \theta + \Psi \left(\tilde{u}_g \ \partial_x \theta + \tilde{v}_g \ \partial_y \theta \right) = \kappa_H \left(\partial_{xx} \theta + \partial_{yy} \theta \right). \tag{2}$$

The streamfunction is normalized so that $|(\tilde{u}_g, \tilde{v}_g)|$ has unit mean. In this way, Ψ determines the magnitude of the velocity field, while $(\tilde{u}_g, \tilde{v}_g)$ set the direction of flow at each spatial location. We rearrange (2) as follows:

$$\frac{(\tilde{u}_g \ \partial_x \theta + \tilde{v}_g \ \partial_y \theta)}{\partial_t \theta} = \frac{\kappa_H}{\Psi} \frac{(\partial_{xx} \theta + \partial_{yy} \theta)}{\partial_t \theta} - \frac{1}{\Psi}$$
(3)

such that κ_H/Ψ represents the slope of a line with intercept $-1/\Psi$. An analogous equation holds for salinity.

Equation (3) may be formulated to be independent of time, an approximation based on the observation that $\partial_t \theta$ and $\partial_t S$ are approximately constant over the course of the record, in both the BSBW and DTML (section 2c). Further, similar values of $\partial_t \theta$ and $\partial_t S$ are found at all stations within the deep basin (ocean bottom depth > 3000 m), which implies that spatial gradients do not change significantly between years. The accuracy of this approximation is examined in detail as part of the EOF analysis (section 4c). Equation (3) may then be expressed as:

$$\tau_1(x,y) = \frac{\kappa_H}{\Psi} \ \tau_2(x,y) - \frac{1}{\Psi},\tag{4}$$

where $\tau_1(x, y)$, estimated from observations, is an advective fraction representing changes in the temperature field associated with along-isopycnal advection, while $\tau_2(x, y)$ is a diffusive fraction representing variations associated with parameterized along-isopycnal diffusivity. Equation (4) has two unknowns, Ψ and κ_H , and is valid on any isopycnal in the BSBW or DTML water masses. A least-squares linear fit to (4) yields Ψ and κ_H .

²⁴¹ The streamfunction amplitude Ψ has units of diffusivity (m² s⁻¹), so that the dimensionless ratio ²⁴² κ_H/Ψ in (4) can be treated as a measure of the relative importance of along-isopycnal diffusion ²⁴³ to advection in the evolution of the temperature (or salinity) field. For a 'diffusive-advective ratio' ²⁴⁴ greater than unity, lateral diffusion is the dominant driver of changes in water mass temperature and salinity, whereas advection dominates for $\kappa_H/\Psi < 1$. It is of interest to note that this ratio could be interpreted as an inverse Peclet number.

The calculation of spatial derivatives in (4) is non-trivial. Sparse data in some regions and scatter in the potential temperature field result in large uncertainties and discrepancies when calculating derivatives, and (4) requires second-order derivatives. Interpolating θ and *S* on an isopycnal using techniques such as objective mapping (Figure 3) create smoothly varying fields but are highly sensitive to outlying measurements, which may or may not be physical, increasing the uncertainty when taking derivatives. To more carefully quantify variations in properties in the deep water masses, we turn to EOF analysis.

4. Empirical Orthogonal Function Analysis

²⁵⁵ Our goal in using EOF analysis is twofold: to quantify changes in water mass properties in the ²⁵⁶ deep Canada Basin, and to isolate the dominant spatial patterns in the potential temperature field ²⁵⁷ in order to calculate large-scale, low-noise spatial derivatives for use with the inverse method.

258 a. Implementation

EOF analysis produces a set of ordered orthogonal modes - that is, the minimum number of independent patterns needed to capture the maximum amount of variance in the data. Spatial EOF modes are extracted for data sampled at different isopycnal levels (Appendix), so that the principal components (PCs) show how the spatial modes vary between isopycnals (see e.g., Gavart and De Mey 1997). Measurements of the form $A(\vec{x}, z)$ are used, where A is a water property such as potential temperature or salinity. A separate EOF analysis is performed for each year, then the dominant modes for all years are compared.

The method is described by way of example (Figure 4) with reference to potential temperature 266 on isopycnals for a specific year (2004). Analysis is restricted to profiles in the deep basin, defined 267 as locations with ocean bottom depths >3000 m. Isopycnals are chosen such that the average 268 isopycnal depths are equally spaced between 300 and 3000 m, for 50 isopycnals from $\sigma = 27.8$ 269 to 28.1 kg m⁻³. EOF analysis identifies spatial patterns in temperature common to the FSBW, 270 BSBW, and DTML water masses. Before the EOF analysis is performed, the potential temperature 271 field on each isopycnal is normalized to have zero mean and unit standard deviation, which ensures 272 the results are not biased towards isopycnals with larger amplitude temperature variations. 273

The first and second EOF modes for 2004, and the associated PCs, are shown in Figure 4a,c. 274 The sign of each mode on a given isopycnal is determined from the sign of the associated PC. The 275 first EOF mode captures predominantly longitudinal variations in potential temperature (Figure 276 4a), and captures 56% of the total variance in the normalized data (Appendix, Figure 4b), while 277 the second mode has predominantly latitudinal variations, and captures 25% of the total variance. 278 The first and second modes together capture 81% of the variance in the normalized data. There is 279 a clear separation between the variance explained by the first two modes and that explained by the 280 third and higher modes (Figure 4b). Modes higher than 5 are indistinguishable from noise. 281

The PCs provide the amplitude of each EOF mode on each isoycnal (Figure 4c). The BSBW temperature field (~700-1500 m), for example, is well described by the first EOF mode alone (Figure 4a, with opposite sign), consistent with qualitative observations of an east-west temperature gradient (Figure 3b). The first EOF mode is also dominant within the DTML, while the first and second modes are important in the FSBW and near the base of the DTML in the double-diffusive staircase (Figure 4c).

288 b. Interpretation of spatial modes

The spatial modes arising from the EOF analysis are statistical constructs assigned meaning 289 based on existing knowledge of the system. While a single mode will never perfectly capture 290 an underlying physical principle, they can provide insight into the physics governing a system. 291 The first EOF mode (Figure 4a) shows primarily a longitudinal gradient in temperature, consistent 292 with warm (FSBW, DTML) or cold (BSBW) temperature anomalies diffusing along isopycnals, 293 from the boundary current in the west into the deep basin. The fact that the first EOF mode is 294 the dominant mode in the two deep water masses suggests that along-isopycnal diffusion may be 295 the dominant process controlling changes in water mass properties, a hypothesis which will be 296 explored further using the inverse method in section 5. 297

The second EOF mode shows a predominantly latitudinal temperature gradient, and is of oppo-298 site sign in the FSBW (warmer to the north) and the DTML (warmer to the south). In the FSBW, 299 the second mode is likely associated with the anticyclonic Beaufort Gyre circulation. McLaugh-300 lin et al. (2009) linked advection by the gyre to warm temperature anomalies travelling from the 301 boundary current across the northern basin. This interpretation is consistent with the stronger in-302 fluence of the second mode on the FSBW relative to the BSBW (Figure 4c), as the gyre is assumed 303 to decrease in strength with depth. In the DTML, the second EOF mode is associated with anoma-304 lously warm temperatures in the southern basin, with possible sources near the margins and on the 305 slope to the south. This is inconsistent with advection by an anticyclonic gyre, but may be linked 306 to enhanced mechanical mixing or cyclonic advection, to be discussed further in sections 5 and 6. 307

³⁰⁸ c. Similarity of EOF modes through time

A separate EOF analysis is conducted for each year, and the resulting modes are compared. The EOF modes and PCs for a given year are calculated using potential temperature profiles from only that year. The isopycnals used are the same for all years, as is the restriction to profiles in the deep basin (bottom depth >3000 m). If the processes driving changes in water mass properties in the deep basin do not change significantly between years, we would expect similar EOF modes for all years.

From 2003 to 2015, the first EOF mode corresponding to each year explains between 45 and 70% of the total variance in the normalized potential temperature data for the FSBW, BSBW, and DTML water masses in the deep Canada Basin. The second mode explains 15 to 35%, for a combined total of 70 to 85% variance explained by two modes alone. We conclude that the first two modes are sufficient to describe the water masses of interest for all years.

³²⁰ Spatial correlations quantify the similarity between the EOF modes from different years. To ³²¹ perform a correlation, the EOF mode is first mapped onto a 1^{*o*} latitude by 4^{*o*} longitude grid (Figure ³²² 5b). If more than one data point falls within a grid box, the average value is used. To calculate the ³²³ correlation coefficient, *r*, between any two years, only grid boxes with data available for both years ³²⁴ are included. Due to the approximately repeat sampling pattern for the hydrographic stations, all ³²⁵ years have most grid boxes in common.

The spatial patterns for the first EOF mode have high correlations between years, for all years from 2003 to 2015 (Figure 5a), with $r \ge 0.75$. Therefore, the dominant feature of the potential temperature field in the deep Canada Basin over the last decade has been a persistent east-west gradient, despite temporal changes in the average temperature of each water mass. Correlation coefficients for the second EOF mode for all years range from r = 0.55 to r = 0.95 (not shown), suggesting that the dominant processes controlling variations in water mass properties do not change significantly during the 13 years considered.

d. Structure in the vertical

The principal components provide the amplitude of each EOF mode on each isopycnal. The 334 mode amplitude is converted into percent variance explained by the first and second mode on 335 each isopycnal for each year (Appendix, Figure 6). (This is equivalent to calculating variance 336 by correlating the temperature field reconstructed from EOF mode 1 or 2 (Appendix) with the 337 observed potential temperature field.) For example, the PC associated with the first EOF mode 338 in 2004 has an amplitude close to 1 in the BSBW (Figure 4c) and explains nearly 100% of the 339 variance in the BSBW potential temperature data (Figure 6a, second column). The total variance 340 explained by the first (or second) EOF mode is the average over all isopycnals for each year. 341

Potential temperature on isopycnals in the FSBW is described primarily by the second EOF mode (Figure 6b), for all years except 2003 and 2004 when the first mode contributes significantly. After about 2004, the FSBW flowing into the Canada Basin no longer displayed the warming trend observed by McLaughlin et al. (2009) (Figure 2), which may account for the shift. The sign of the second mode is the same for all years (not shown), with warmer waters to the north.

For both the BSBW and DTML, the first EOF mode explains the majority of the temperature 347 variance (usually 75% or higher) on most isopycnals, for all years (Figure 6a), with spatial vari-348 ations dominated by a basin scale east-west gradient. The second EOF mode is intermittently 349 important for a narrow range of isopycnals between the two water masses (centered slightly above 350 2000 m depth), where the sign of the temperature gradient reverses (cool vs. warm anomaly), as 351 well as in the deep double-diffusive staircase, where warmer waters are consistently found to the 352 south of the basin. For isopycnals between the BSBW and DTML, variations in temperature are 353 significantly smaller than for either water mass, and the second EOF mode likely has no consistent 354 physical interpretation. Its interpretation in the deep staircase is discussed in section 6. 355

Both the first and second EOF modes are highly persistent between 2003 and 2015, with similar 356 contributions to the temperature field on isopycnals throughout both the BSBW and DTML water 357 masses. This indicates that along-isopycnal temperature gradients are qualitatively and quantita-358 tively similar between years, which is consistent with the initial observation that the constant rate 359 of cooling (BSBW) and warming (DTML) showed minimal variation between stations (Figure 2, 360 section 2c). The temporal coherence of the EOF modes and associated PCs further suggests that 361 the physical processes driving changes in water mass properties do not change between 2003 and 362 2015. 363

³⁶⁴ e. Isopycnal depth and the streamfunction

³⁶⁵ A similar EOF analysis to that for temperature is conducted for isopycnal depth to determine the ³⁶⁶ geostrophic streamfunction contours, as defined in section 3. The magnitude of the geostrophic ³⁶⁷ velocity field is determined from the inverse method, while the streamfunction contours on each ³⁶⁸ isopycnal, $\tilde{\psi}(x, y)$, are determined using depth contours of a given isopycnal.

An EOF analysis of the isopycnal depth field is performed for each year from 2003 to 2015. The first EOF mode (Figure 7b) captures the majority of the variability in isopycnal depth for all years, explaining between 75-90% of the variance, with an average of 84%. The PC associated with the first EOF mode does not change sign with depth - that is, all isopycnals deepen toward the center of the Beaufort Gyre, forming a bowl-shape centered near 74°N and 153°W. Unlike the EOFs for potential temperature, the second EOF mode for isopycnal depth explains less than 10% of the total variance on average, and likely does not have a consistent physical interpretation.

³⁷⁶ Correlations between the first EOF mode for isopycnal depth for each year with every other year ³⁷⁷ show reasonable similarity between years (Figure 7a), with correlation coefficients of between 0.5 ³⁷⁸ and 1.0. In 2012, isopycnals were flatter than during other years, perhaps reflecting observations that show an expansion of the Beaufort Gyre since 2007 (McPhee 2013). The mode 1 spatial patterns from 2003 to 2015 are appropriately normalized and grid-averaged to produce a timeindependent $\tilde{\psi}$ (Figure 7b, section 3, section 5a).

5. Inverse Method Results

The goal of the inverse method analysis is to estimate geostrophic velocity and along-isopycnal diffusivity on isopycnals within the BSBW and DTML water masses, and relate these to circulation and transport of water mass properties.

a. Inverse method implementation

Spatial derivatives in (4) are calculated using a 2-mode reconstruction of the potential temperature field from the EOF analysis (Appendix, Figure 8), reintroducing dimensional units. When calculating the 2-mode reconstruction, the standard deviation of temperature on each isopycnal is reintroduced, but the mean is not, which effectively removes the temporal trend from the data.

The reconstructed fields are translated onto a 1^o latitude by 4^o longitude grid, as shown for $\tilde{\psi}$ 391 in Figure 7b. The size of a grid box is chosen to be consistent with the station spacing, with an 392 associated length scale on the order of 100 km. Of course, the parameterized diffusivity κ_H is 393 sensitive to the station spacing and length scale. The value of temperature within a grid box is the 394 average of all data points within the grid box from all years. Since spatial gradients in temperature 395 on an isopycnal vary negligibly in time between 2003 and 2015 (section 4), it is appropriate to 396 combine data from all years to calculate derivatives (using centered finite differences), reducing 397 uncertainty. Gridding the data and taking discrete derivatives imposes a length-scale on the results, 398 but permits the calculation of first- and second-order spatial derivatives that reflect the observed 399 large-scale, slowly-varying gradients in tracer fields. 400

Equation (4) is evaluated in each grid box on a given isopycnal, then a least-squares linear fit to τ_1 and τ_2 is used to determine the slope and intercept, from which Ψ , κ_H , and their uncertainties are calculated. The full geostrophic velocity field is then reconstructed from $u_g = -\Psi \partial_y \tilde{\psi}$, $v_g =$ $\Psi \partial_x \tilde{\psi}$. Grid boxes are excluded when discrete spatial derivatives cannot be properly calculated due to lack of data in adjacent grid boxes.

406 b. Inverse method results for the BSBW

We report results for isopycnals from $\sigma = 27.98$ kg m⁻³ (with an average depth of ~ 700 m) to $\sigma = 28.06$ kg m⁻³ (average depth ~ 1500 m) in the BSBW, and use $\sigma = 28.01$ kg m⁻³ as a representative example (Figure 9). The inverse method least-squares linear fit (Figure 9a) has $r^2 =$ 0.5. Out of 52 grid boxes containing data, 3 were discarded from the final fit due to the inability to calculate discrete derivatives. The slope gives a diffusive-advective ratio of $\kappa_H/\Psi = 2.0 \pm 0.3$. The intercept gives a streamfunction amplitude of $\Psi = 214 \pm 32$ m² s⁻¹. Lateral diffusivity along the $\sigma = 28.01$ kg m⁻³ isopycnal is then estimated to be $\kappa_H = 400 \pm 88$ m² s⁻¹.

The average magnitude of the advective velocity field along $\sigma = 28.01$ kg m⁻³ is $|\vec{u}_g| = 0.33 \pm$ 0.08 cm s⁻¹. Flow speeds in individual grid boxes range from $|\vec{u}_g| = 0.05$ to 0.5 cm s⁻¹. The full geostrophic velocity field on this isopycnal (Figure 9b) is anticyclonic, with the isopycnal deepening to ~ 1000 m depth at the gyre center. Water appears to enter the basin moving eastward from the northern end of the Northwind Ridge.

⁴¹⁹ Values for κ_H/Ψ for isopycnals within the BSBW range from 1.5 to 2.0, with an average value ⁴²⁰ of 1.6 above about 1200 m, after which values decline with depth. Average velocity magnitudes ⁴²¹ on individual isopycnals within the BSBW range from $|\vec{u}_g| = 0.3$ to 1.2 cm s⁻¹, with an overall ⁴²² average for the BSBW of 0.6 cm s⁻¹. There is no significant trend in velocity magnitude with ⁴²³ depth. Lateral diffusivity on isopycnals within the BSBW ranges from $\kappa_H = 205$ to 833 m² s⁻¹, ⁴²⁴ with an average value of 462 m² s⁻¹.

The estimated values of the diffusive-advective ratio suggest that lateral diffusivity plays a dom-425 inant role in tracer transport in the BSBW above ~ 1200 m depth, being nearly twice as important 426 to along-isopycnal variations in temperature and salinity as the geostrophic gyre circulation. This 427 result is consistent with the EOF analysis results, where the first EOF mode - with primarily lon-428 gitudinal variations consistent with eastward diffusion of anomalies transported by the boundary 429 current along the western basin margin - explains most of the variance in the BSBW tempera-430 ture and salinity fields (Figure 6a). The second EOF mode, which is more likely associated with 431 transport by the anticyclonic gyre, plays a secondary role in the BSBW (Figure 9b). 432

In the deepest part of the BSBW (from $\sim 1500 - 2000$ m), the inverse method predicts cyclonic gyre circulation. This would suggest that the lateral pressure gradient at these depths has the opposite sign from that at shallower depths, such that the gradient is from low (in the gyre center) to high (at the periphery). This reversal of the gyre would also require that the geostrophic velocity pass through zero at some depth in the deep BSBW; inverse method results close to the transition are inherently uncertain, and are not reported here.

439 c. Results for the DTML

In the DTML, the inverse method predicts cyclonic gyre circulation, so that Ψ is negative. We include isopycnals from $\sigma = 28.090$ kg m⁻³ (with an average depth of ~ 2000 m) to $\sigma = 28.102$ kg m⁻³ (average depth ~ 2800 m) in the DTML. Values for κ_H/Ψ are close to zero at the top and bottom of the DTML and within the double-diffusive staircase, and range from -1.3 to -1.8 within the core of the water mass, with an average of -1.6. Average velocity magnitudes on isopycnals within the core of the DTML range from $|\vec{u}_g| = 0.2$ to 0.4 cm s⁻¹, with an overall average of 0.3 cm s⁻¹. Lateral diffusivity ranges from $\kappa_H = 198$ to 393 m² s⁻¹, with an average value of 305 m² s⁻¹, then declines rapidly in the staircase. On $\sigma = 28.097$ kg m⁻³, values are $\kappa_H/\Psi = -1.8 \pm 0.5$, $\kappa = 292 \pm 127$ m² s⁻¹, and $|\vec{u}_g| = 0.2 \pm 0.1$ cm s⁻¹.

The advective-diffusive ratio in the DTML suggests that along-isopycnal diffusivity is more important than advection by the gyre to setting water mass properties. However, we note that it is possible that the presence of a warm θ anomaly in the southern deep basin causes the inverse method to incorrectly predict cyclonic gyre flow (in contrast to the FSBW which had a warm anomaly in the north associated with anticyclonic transport by the gyre). Depending on the source of this warm anomaly, the assumptions used in the inverse method may not apply in the DTML, invalidating the results.

In particular, the assumption that vertical mixing is negligible may be inappropriate for the 456 DTML. Timmermans et al. (2003) found evidence of enhanced turbulent mixing in the DTML 457 on the slope in the southeast basin, and noted that the deep double-diffusive staircase was eroded 458 there. The staircase is also absent in the southwest in the deep basin (Figure 10). Vertical mixing 459 would warm the DTML, drawing (geothermal) heat and salt up from the homogeneous bottom 460 layer below (Carmack et al. 2012), with the largest fluxes in the boundary regions. Note also that 461 the highest temperatures are found along the presumed trajectory of the cyclonic boundary current 462 (Figure 10), suggesting advection by the boundary current may play a role. 463

Even if the prediction of cyclonic gyre flow is incorrect, results from the EOF analysis support the conclusion that along-isopycnal diffusion is the dominant mechanism transporting heat from the margins into the deep basin in the DTML. As in the BSBW, the first EOF mode explains the majority of the variance in the DTML above the deep double-diffusive staircase (Figure 6). The second EOF mode in the DTML may be related to cyclonic gyre flow, turbulent vertical mixing, advection by the boundary current, or some combination thereof.

6. Summary and Discussion

Using a novel inverse method based on tracer conservation in combination with EOF analysis 471 of hydrographic data, we investigated the processes driving changes in water mass properties be-472 tween 2003 and 2015 in the deep Canada Basin. Water mass transport was hypothesized to occur 473 through a combination of advection by the large-scale Beaufort Gyre circulation and lateral dif-474 fusion of tracers from the basin margins. For both the BSBW and DTML deep water masses, 475 along-isopycnal diffusivity appears to be the dominant process controlling changes in temperature 476 and salinity in the deep basin, with relatively weak advection by the gyre. Both the gyre circula-477 tion and along-isopycnal diffusivity seem to be important in the FSBW, with the influence of the 478 gyre dominating observed changes in tracer fields after 2004, as the rate of warming of the inflow 479 tapered off. 480

Cooling and freshening was observed in the BSBW, while the DTML became warmer and saltier. 481 In both deep water masses considered, EOF analysis was used to quantify changes in water mass 482 properties. Potential temperature for a given isopycnal in these water masses changed at a steady 483 rate through time from 2003 to 2015, with little variation between stations. Along-isopycnal tem-484 perature and salinity gradients were approximately constant between years, and varied primarily 485 from east to west in both the BSBW and the DTML, consistent with lateral diffusion. Smaller 486 north-south gradients were linked to the Beaufort Gyre in the BSBW and to either the gyre or to 487 vertical (geothermal) heat fluxes near the basin margins in the DTML. 488

In the BSBW, an approximate geostrophic velocity field consistent with anticyclonic Beaufort Gyre circulation was determined by combining the results of the inverse method with a geostrophic streamfunction with ~100 km horizontal resolution. The overall average speed of along-isopycnal advection was $|\vec{u}_g| \sim 0.6$ cm s⁻¹. In the DTML, cyclonic circulation was pre-

23

dicted, with $|\vec{u}_g| \sim 0.3$ cm s⁻¹ on average. Beaufort Gyre current speeds below the FSBW in the 493 central basin have previously been estimated to be 0-2 cm s⁻¹, with large uncertainty (Newton 494 and Coachman 1974). The center of the gyre was located near 74°N and 153°W, in reasonable 495 agreement with recent results from Armitage et al. (2017), who observed the center of the Beaufort 496 Gyre shifting northwest between 2003 and 2014, from $\sim 74^{\circ}$ N and 145° W to $\sim 76^{\circ}$ N and 150° W. 497 From the inverse method, lateral diffusivity is estimated to be $\kappa_H \sim 450 \pm 150 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ in the 498 BSBW and $\kappa_H \sim 300 \pm 100 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ in the DTML, with an associated length scale of 100 km cor-499 responding to the average grid spacing. We note that values of diffusivity from the inverse method 500 are sensitive to this grid spacing length scale, which is itself determined by the hydrographic sta-501 tion spacing. Here the lateral diffusivity accounts for any process that mixes potential temperature 502 (or salinity) anomalies along isopycnals (contributions from e.g., eddy fluxes and thermohaline 503 intrusions). The contribution of along-isopycnal diffusion to the evolution of tracer fields is esti-504 mated to be up to twice as important as advection by the Beaufort Gyre circulation. 505

More direct estimates of eddy diffusivity (i.e., based on velocity fluctuations) are rare in the 506 Arctic Ocean. For the oceans south of 60° N, Cole et al. (2015) estimated along-isopycnal dif-507 fusivities at 300 km scale using Argo profile data. Below ~ 1000 m depth, they found zonally 508 averaged values of order 100-1000 m² s⁻¹. Employing a similar framework to Cole et al. (2015), 509 Meneghello et al. (2017) estimate lateral diffusivities in the Canada Basin from velocity measure-510 ments to be $\sim 100 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ on average at depths around 600 m, with an associated length scale of 511 around 50 km. Near the basin margins and the Chukchi Plateau, Meneghello et al. (2017) found 512 diffusivities elevated by a factor of 3 or more, associated with a more active eddy field. 513

The estimate of lateral diffusivity found here for the BSBW is significantly higher than previous estimates associated with thermohaline intrusions alone. Walsh and Carmack (2002, 2003) analyzed thermohaline intrusions in the Canada Basin FSBW and inferred lateral diffusivities in the ⁵¹⁷ range 50-200 m² s⁻¹. In the smaller-amplitude BSBW intrusions, κ_H is expected to be lower. This ⁵¹⁸ suggests that processes in addition to thermohaline intrusion fluxes, such as stirring by eddies, are ⁵¹⁹ required to explain the value for lateral diffusivity in the BSBW.

The values inferred for κ_H may be used to estimate the approximate time required for a 520 temperature anomaly to diffuse across the basin. A diffusivity of $\kappa_H = 400 \pm 88 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ on 521 $\sigma = 28.01 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ in the BSBW is associated with a rate of transport of 0.16-0.24 cm s⁻¹, where 522 κ_{H} is divided by the length scale associated with the centered difference used to calculate spa-523 tial gradients (200 km - twice the 100 km grid spacing length scale). At this rate, a temperature 524 anomaly would be transported across the ~ 600 km wide deep basin in 8-12 years. This is in 525 rough agreement with the hydrographic observations, which show cold anomalies crossing the 526 deep Basin in about 10-12 years. McLaughlin et al. (2009) estimated a similar net rate of trans-527 port for the warm FSBW temperature anomaly of 0.1-0.5 cm s⁻¹, which they associated with the 528 combined effects of the gyre and thermohaline intrusions. In the DTML, values for diffusivity are 529 lower than, but comparable to, those in the BSBW, in approximate agreement with hydrographic 530 profile data that show warm anomalies crossing the deep basin in about 8-12 years. 531

We caution that the results of the inverse method in the DTML may be inaccurate due to the 532 influence of enhanced vertical mixing in the southern basin near the margins and on the slope, 533 transport by the poorly resolved cyclonic boundary current, or both. (The assumption that vertical 534 mixing can be neglected in the BSBW appears valid.) Recall that the sign of the second EOF mode 535 in the DTML is opposite that in the FSBW, reflecting a warm anomaly in the southern basin, and 536 resulting in the (potentially incorrect) prediction of cyclonic Beaufort Gyre flow. Although the 537 exact heat budget of the DTML remains an open question, the available evidence from the EOF 538 analysis and the inverse method both suggest that along-isopycnal diffusion of heat into the basin 539 interior from the margins in the west and south is the most important factor. 540

While we have provided an alternate method of calculating lateral velocity and diffusivity, tighter 541 constraints are needed on estimates of these quantities in the deep Canada Basin. Future studies 542 might involve tracer release experiments, more extensive velocity measurements, or additional 543 hydrographic data and mixing measurements (particularly near the basin margins), any of which 544 would improve our understanding of the deep water masses. These deep water masses, which 545 represent a significant fraction of the water column in the Canada Basin, provide a record of the 546 evolution of the source waters and are an important component of the full Arctic Ocean heat and 547 freshwater budgets. 548

Acknowledgments. The data were collected and made available by the Beaufort Gyre Exploration
 Program based at the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution (http://www.whoi.edu/beaufortgyre)
 in collaboration with researchers from Fisheries and Oceans Canada at the Institute of Ocean
 Sciences. Funding was provided by the National Science Foundation Division of Polar Programs
 under Award Number 1350046.

554

APPENDIX

555

Appendix - EOF formalism

⁵⁵⁶ Potential temperature data are arranged into an $m \times n$ matrix $A_{\theta}(\vec{x}, z)$, for *n* isopycnals sampled at ⁵⁵⁷ *m* hydrographic stations, and the mean potential temperature on each isopycnal, $\mu_{\theta n}$, is subtracted ⁵⁵⁸ from each data point on that isopycnal (column means are removed), so that

$$\tilde{A}_{\theta n} = A_{\theta n} - \mu_{\theta n}$$

⁵⁵⁹ To prevent the results from being biased towards spatial patterns in the FSBW, which has lateral ⁵⁶⁰ variations an order of magnitude or more larger than those in the DTML, we divide the data on each isopycnal by the standard deviation of measurements on that isopycnal, $\sigma_{\theta n}$, to give

$$X_{\theta n} = \tilde{A}_{\theta n} / \sigma_{\theta n}$$

The result is a matrix X_{θ} of potential temperatures on isopycnal surfaces spanning the FSBW, BSBW, and DTML, with zero mean and unit standard deviation.

EOF modes are determined using singular value decomposition, which produces ordered orthonormal modes, with the first mode capturing the most variance in the data. That is,

$$X_{\theta} = USV' = EOF(\vec{x}) PC(z),$$

where the matrix *U* provides the spatial patterns for each EOF mode (the columns of *U* are the spatial EOFs), equivalent to the eigenvectors of the covariance matrix for X_{θ} . The diagonal elements of *S* provide the associated eigenvalues when squared and divided by the sample size. The columns of the matrix *V* provide the vertical structure of each EOF mode, and the principal components are calculated from PC = SV' (Figure 4).

The principal components can be related to the variance explained by each EOF mode on each isopycnal as follows: $(PC^M/\sqrt{m-1})^2$, where PC^M is the principal component for the *M*th mode. This is equivalent to calculating the r^2 -value for the correlation between that EOF mode and the potential temperature field on each isopycnal (Figure 6).

To determine the sensitivity of the EOF results to spatial sampling and station locations, the data are sub-sampled by selecting random combinations of stations and correlating the resulting EOF modes to the original modes. Eliminating up to half of the original stations results in correlations above r = 0.9 for the first two modes, indicating very low sensitivity. EOF analysis is not affected by redundancy in the data; it does not require that measurements on successive isopycnals or between adjacent stations be independent. EOF modes and the associated principal components are non-dimensional, and can be difficult to interpret in relation to the observations. The original data matrix is reconstructed as:

$$A_{\theta}(\vec{x},z) = USV' \ \sigma_{\theta}(z) + \mu_{\theta}(z),$$

where σ_{θ} and μ_{θ} are vectors for the standard deviation and mean of the potential temperature, respectively, on each isopycnal. A reconstruction of the potential temperature field can be accomplished using only a set number of modes. For example, a mode 1 reconstruction is given by:

$$A_{\theta}^{M1} = U_{m1}S_{11}V_{n1}' \sigma_{\theta n} + \mu_{\theta n}.$$

The resulting $m \times n$ matrix provides the information in the original potential temperature field that is captured by the first EOF mode on each isopycnal at each station. As such, the reconstructed field has the same spatial pattern as the first EOF mode, but provides dimensional values.

590 **References**

Armitage, T. W. K., S. Bacon, A. L. Ridout, A. A. Petty, S. Wolbach, and M. Tsamados, 2017:
 Arctic Ocean surface geostrophic circulation 2003–2014. *The Cryosphere*, **11** (**4**), 1767–1780,
 doi:10.5194/tc-11-1767-2017.

Bebieva, Y., and M.-L. Timmermans, 2016: An examination of double-diffusive processes in a
mesoscale eddy in the Arctic Ocean. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, **121** (1), 457–
475, doi:10.1002/2015JC011105.

- ⁵⁹⁷ Carmack, E., K. Aagaard, S. JH, P. RG, M. FA, M. RW, and J. EP, 1998: Thermohaline Transi ⁵⁹⁸ tions. *Physical Processes in Lakes and Oceans*, J. Imberger, Ed., American Geophysical Union,
- ⁵⁹⁹ Washington, DC, chap. 12, 179–186.

⁶⁰⁰ Carmack, E. C., R. W. Macdonald, R. G. Perkin, F. A. McLaughlin, and R. J. Pearson, 1995:
 ⁶⁰¹ Evidence for warming of atlantic water in the southern canadian basin of the arctic ocean:
 ⁶⁰² Results from the larsen-93 expedition. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **22** (**9**), 1061–1064, doi:
 ⁶⁰³ 10.1029/95GL00808.

⁶⁰⁴ Carmack, E. C., W. J. Williams, S. L. Zimmermann, and F. A. McLaughlin, 2012: The Arctic ⁶⁰⁵ Ocean warms from below. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **39** (**7**), doi:10.1029/2012GL050890.

⁶⁰⁶ Cole, S. T., C. Wortham, E. Kunze, and W. B. Owens, 2015: Eddy stirring and horizontal diffusivity from Argo float observations: Geographic and depth variability. *Geophysical Research* ⁶⁰⁷ *Letters*, 42 (10), 3989–3997, doi:10.1002/2015GL063827.

⁶⁰⁹ Dosser, H. V., and L. Rainville, 2016: Dynamics of the changing near-inertial internal wave field ⁶¹⁰ in the Arctic ocean. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, **46** (**2**), doi:10.1175/JPO-D-15-0056.1.

Gavart, M., and P. De Mey, 1997: Isopycnal EOFs in the Azores Current Region: A Statistical
 Tool for Dynamical Analysis and Data Assimilation. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, doi:
 10.1175/1520-0485(0)027(2146:IEITAC)2.0.CO;2.

⁶¹⁴ Guthrie, J. D., J. H. Morison, and I. Fer, 2013: Revisiting internal waves and mixing in the Arctic
⁶¹⁵ Ocean. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, **118** (August), 3966–3977, doi:10.1002/jgrc.
⁶¹⁶ 20294.

⁶¹⁷ Hughes, C. W., and P. D. Killworth, 1995: Effects of Bottom Topography in the Large-Scale ⁶¹⁸ Circulation of the Southern Ocean. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, **25**, 2485–2497.

⁶¹⁹ Jakobsson, M., and Coauthors, 2012: The International Bathymetric Chart of the Arctic Ocean ⁶²⁰ (IBCAO) Version 3.0. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **39** (**12**), doi:10.1029/2012GL052219.

29

- Killworth, P. D., 1986: A Bernoulli Inverse Method for Determining the Ocean Circulation. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 16 (12), 2031–2051, doi:10.1175/1520-0485(1986)016(2031:
 ABIMFD>2.0.CO;2.
- Lee, J. H., and G. Veronis, 1991: On the difference between tracer and geostrophic velocities obtained from C-SALT data. *Deep Sea Research Part A. Oceanographic Research Papers*, **38** (5), 555–568, doi:10.1016/0198-0149(91)90063-L.
- ⁶²⁷ Macdonald, R., E. Carmack, and D. Wallace, 1993: Tritium and radiocarbon dating of canada ⁶²⁸ basin deep waters. *Science*, **259**, 103–104.
- McLaughlin, F. A., E. C. Carmack, W. J. Williams, S. Zimmermann, K. Shimada, and M. Itoh,
 2009: Joint effects of boundary currents and thermohaline intrusions on the warming of Atlantic
 water in the Canada Basin, 1993-2007. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, **114**, doi:
 10.1029/2008jc005001.
- McPhee, M. G., 2013: Intensification of Geostrophic Currents in the Canada Basin, Arctic Ocean.
 Journal of Climate, 26 (10), 3130–3138, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00289.1.
- Meneghello, G., J. Marshall, S. Cole, and M.-L. Timmermans, 2017: Observational inferences of
 lateral eddy diffusivity in the halocine of the Beaufort Gyre. *Submitted to Geophysical Research Letters*.
- Newton, J. L., and L. K. Coachman, 1974: Atlantic Water Circulation in the Canada Basin. *ARC- TIC*, **27** (4), 297–303.
- Proshutinsky, A., and Coauthors, 2009: Beaufort Gyre freshwater reservoir: State and variability
 from observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, **114**, doi:10.1029/2008jc005104.

Rainville, L., and P. Winsor, 2008: Mixing across the Arctic ocean: Microstructure observa tions during the Beringia 2005 expedition. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35, doi:10.1029/
 2008gl033532.

Rudels, B., E. P. Jones, U. Schauer, and P. Eriksson, 2004: Atlantic sources of the Arctic Ocean
surface and halocline waters. *Polar Research*, 23 (2), 181–208, doi:10.1111/j.1751-8369.2004.
tb00007.x.

Rudels, B., R. D. Muench, J. Gunn, U. Schauer, and H. J. Friedrich, 2000: Evolution of the arctic
 ocean boundary current north of the siberian shelves. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 25 (1), 77 –

⁶⁵⁰ 99, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-7963(00)00009-9.

Spall, M. A., 2013: On the circulation of atlantic water in the arctic ocean. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 43 (11), 2352–2371, doi:10.1175/JPO-D-13-079.1.

Stommel, H., and F. Schott, 1977: The Beta Spiral and the Determination of the Absolute Velocity
 Field from Hydrographic Station Data. *Deep Sea Research*, 24, 325–329.

⁶⁵⁵ Timmermans, M.-L., and C. Garrett, 2006: Evolution of the deep water in the canadian basin in ⁶⁵⁶ the arctic ocean. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, **36** (**5**), 866–874, doi:10.1175/JPO2906.1.

⁶⁵⁷ Timmermans, M.-L., C. Garrett, and E. Carmack, 2003: The Thermohaline Structure and Evolu-

tion of the Deep Water in the Canada Basin, Arctic Ocean. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, **50**.

Timmermans, M.-L., J. Toole, R. Krishfield, and P. Winsor, 2008: Ice-Tethered Profiler observa tions of the double-diffusive staircase in the Canada Basin thermocline. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **113**, 1–10, doi:10.1029/2008JC004829.

- Timmermans, M.-L., P. Winsor, and J. A. Whitehead, 2005: Deep-Water Flow over the
 Lomonosov Ridge in the Arctic Ocean. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 35 (8), 1489–1493,
 doi:10.1175/JPO2765.1.
- ⁶⁶⁶ Walsh, D., and E. Carmack, 2002: A note on evanescent behavior of Arctic thermohaline intru-⁶⁶⁷ sions. *Journal of Marine Research*, **60**, 281–310.
- Walsh, D., and E. Carmack, 2003: The nested structure of Arctic thermohaline intrusions. *Ocean Modelling*, 5, 267–289, doi:10.1016/s1463-5003(02)00056-2.
- ⁶⁷⁰ Woodgate, R. A., K. Aagaard, J. H. Swift, W. M. Smethie, and K. K. Falkner, 2007: Atlantic water
- circulation over the Mendeleev Ridge and Chukchi Borderland from thermohaline intrusions
 and water mass properties. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, **112 (C2)**, doi:10.1029/
 2005JC003416.
- ⁶⁷⁴ Zhao, M., M.-L. Timmermans, S. Cole, R. Krishfield, and J. Toole, 2016: Evolution of the eddy
 ⁶⁷⁵ field in the Arctic Ocean's Canada Basin, 2005–2015. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43 (15),
 ⁶⁷⁶ 8106–8114, doi:10.1002/2016GL069671.
- Zika, J. D., T. J. McDougall, and B. M. Sloyan, 2010: A Tracer-Contour Inverse Method for
 Estimating Ocean Circulation and Mixing. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 40 (1), 26–47,
 doi:10.1175/2009JPO4208.1.

680 LIST OF FIGURES

681 682 683 684 685	Fig. 1.	a) Map of Canada Basin showing typical hydrographic sampling pattern (from 2007). Con- tours show ocean bottom depth, with land in black. b) Representative temperature-salinity diagram from 140°W and 74°N (gold star on map) from 2004 (blue) and 2014 (red), with isopycnals in gray. Water masses are labelled. Inset shows the DTML. c) Profiles of poten- tial temperature from the same location. Inset shows the DTML and deep double-diffusive staircase	35
686 687 688	Fig. 2.	Potential temperature θ , referenced to the surface, vs. salinity <i>S</i> from 140°W and 74°N (gold star on map in Figure 1), with profiles from 2003 to 2015 colored by year. Inset shows the	. 55
689 690 691 692		DTML. Note that this general picture holds for all stations in the deep Canada Basin. Water masses are labelled and the table below gives 2003 to 2015 trends in potential temperature $(\partial_t \theta)$ and salinity $(\partial_t S)$ on specified isopycnals in the BSBW and the DTML determined based on a linear fit to basin mean values for all profiles with ocean bottom depth >3000 m, with standard error	26
693		with standard error.	. 36
694 695 696 697	Fig. 3.	Spatial maps of potential temperature referenced to the surface for the a) FSBW on $\sigma = 27.925 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$, b) BSBW on $\sigma = 28.010 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$, and c) DTML on $\sigma = 28.097 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$, objectively mapped using all hydrographic data from 2003 to 2015. The temporal trend about the mean has been removed before mapping. Regions further than 100 km from a	
698 699		station location are masked out in white. Black circles are station locations. Gray contours are the 500 m to 3500 m isobaths in increments of 1000 m.	. 37
700	Fig. 4.	a) EOF mode 1 and mode 2 spatial patterns of potential temperature on isopycnals from 2004 normalized to unit standard deviation. Isopycnals are chosen to be spaced roughly	
701 702		every 50 m in the vertical in the FSBW, BSBW, and DTML water masses. b) Total variance	
703		in the data explained by each EOF mode, cut off at the 10th mode. Only the first 5 modes are	
704		statistically distinguishable from noise. c) Principal components corresponding to the first	
705		(red) and second (blue) EOF spatial modes, with normalized amplitudes that correspond to	
706		a 1 standard deviation change in the EOF modes, as in a). The PCs are plotted as a function	
707		of average isopycnal depth, alongside a typical potential temperature profile with an inset	20
708		showing the DTML. The approximate depth range for each water mass is indicated	. 38
709	Fig. 5.	a) Correlation coefficients for the EOF mode 1 spatial pattern for each year with every other	
710		year, for potential temperature on isopycnals. Note that the colorscale begins at $r = 0.75$. b)	
711		Spatial maps of the first EOF mode for 2004 and 2014 ($r = 0.95$), with the data averaged	
712		onto a 4^{o} longitude by 1^{o} latitude grid, which allows the correlations to be performed despite	
713		differences in precise station location from one year to the next. Only grid boxes with profile	20
714		data available for both years are included in a given correlation.	. 39
715	Fig. 6.	a) Percentage of the variance in the data on each isopycnal explained by the first EOF mode,	
716	C	for each year. The mode 1 spatial pattern for a given year captures a certain amount of the	
717		variability in the observed potential temperature field on each isopycnal, which is quanti-	
718		fied by converting the first PC to percent variance explained (Appendix). For example, the	
719		column for 2004 is calculated from the first PC for 2004 (Figure 4c), and quantifies how	
720		well the first EOF mode for 2004 (Figure 4a) captures variations in the observed potential temperature field from 2004 b) The same but for the second EOE mode	40
/21		temperature new nom 2004. 0) The same, but for the second EOF mode	. 40
722	Fig. 7.	a) Correlation coefficients for correlations between the first EOF mode for isopycnal depth	
723		for each year from 2003 to 2015 with every other year. Note that the colorscale begins at	
724		r = 0.5. To perform the correlations, data are gridded as in Figure 5. b) Grid-average of	
725		the first EOF mode for isopycnal depth for every year from 2003 to 2015, for stations with	

726 727 728		bottom depth >3000 m. The spatial pattern has been scaled to have standard deviation equal to one. Higher (more positive) values correspond to isopycnal depths further down in the water column. Light gray lines are the 500, 1500, 2500, and 3500 m isobaths.	41
729 730 731 732 733	Fig. 8.	a) Potential temperature of the DTML on $\sigma = 28.097$ kg m ⁻³ in 2011. b) Potential temperature field on the same isopycnal reconstructed using only the first two EOF modes. The color scale is the same for both fields, and the temporal trend has not been removed from the reconstructed field, to allow a one-to-one comparison. Black lines are the 500, 1500, 2500, and 3500 m isobaths.	42
734 735 736 737 738 739 740 741	Fig. 9.	a) Least-squares linear fit to data on $\sigma = 28.01$ kg m ⁻³ (BSBW), for the advective, τ_1 , and diffusive, τ_2 , terms in (4). Each point is the value from an individual grid box for the grid shown in Figure 7. b) Results for the estimated geostrophic Beaufort Gyre circulation on the same isopycnal, determined using the velocity magnitude calculated from the inverse method and velocity direction determined from the geostrophic streamfunction. Colors show the objectively mapped depth of the $\sigma = 28.01$ kg m ⁻³ isopycnal. Geostrophic flow is assumed to follow depth contours of a given isopycnal. Light gray lines are the 500, 1500, 2500, and 3500 m isobaths.	43
742 743 744 745 746 747 748	Fig. 10.	DTML potential temperature on $\sigma = 28.097$ kg m ⁻³ , objectively mapped as in Figure 3, with black contours from -0.52 to -0.51°C in increments of $0.002°$ C, showing the roughly longitudinal variation across the central basin, and the influence of warming in the southern basin, near the margins, and on the slope. Red arrows show the assumed path of the boundary current, while blue spirals indicate regions with evidence of enhanced vertical mixing inferred from hydrographic profiles. Light gray lines are the 500, 1500, 2500, and 3500 m isobaths.	44



FIG. 1. a) Map of Canada Basin showing typical hydrographic sampling pattern (from 2007). Contours show ocean bottom depth, with land in black. b) Representative temperature-salinity diagram from 140°W and 74°N (gold star on map) from 2004 (blue) and 2014 (red), with isopycnals in gray. Water masses are labelled. Inset shows the DTML. c) Profiles of potential temperature from the same location. Inset shows the DTML and deep double-diffusive staircase.



FIG. 2. Potential temperature θ , referenced to the surface, vs. salinity *S* from 140°W and 74°N (gold star on map in Figure 1), with profiles from 2003 to 2015 colored by year. Inset shows the DTML. Note that this general picture holds for all stations in the deep Canada Basin. Water masses are labelled and the table below gives 2003 to 2015 trends in potential temperature ($\partial_t \theta$) and salinity ($\partial_t S$) on specified isopycnals in the BSBW and the DTML determined based on a linear fit to basin mean values for all profiles with ocean bottom depth >3000 m, with standard error.



FIG. 3. Spatial maps of potential temperature referenced to the surface for the a) FSBW on $\sigma =$ 27.925 kg m⁻³, b) BSBW on $\sigma = 28.010$ kg m⁻³, and c) DTML on $\sigma = 28.097$ kg m⁻³, objectively mapped using all hydrographic data from 2003 to 2015. The temporal trend about the mean has been removed before mapping. Regions further than 100 km from a station location are masked out in white. Black circles are station locations. Gray contours are the 500 m to 3500 m isobaths in increments of 1000 m.



FIG. 4. a) EOF mode 1 and mode 2 spatial patterns of potential temperature on isopycnals from 2004, nor-765 malized to unit standard deviation. Isopycnals are chosen to be spaced roughly every 50 m in the vertical in 766 the FSBW, BSBW, and DTML water masses. b) Total variance in the data explained by each EOF mode, cut 767 off at the 10th mode. Only the first 5 modes are statistically distinguishable from noise. c) Principal compo-768 nents corresponding to the first (red) and second (blue) EOF spatial modes, with normalized amplitudes that 769 correspond to a 1 standard deviation change in the EOF modes, as in a). The PCs are plotted as a function of 770 average isopycnal depth, alongside a typical potential temperature profile with an inset showing the DTML. The 771 approximate depth range for each water mass is indicated. 772



FIG. 5. a) Correlation coefficients for the EOF mode 1 spatial pattern for each year with every other year, for potential temperature on isopycnals. Note that the colorscale begins at r = 0.75. b) Spatial maps of the first EOF mode for 2004 and 2014 (r = 0.95), with the data averaged onto a 4^o longitude by 1^o latitude grid, which allows the correlations to be performed despite differences in precise station location from one year to the next. Only grid boxes with profile data available for both years are included in a given correlation.



FIG. 6. a) Percentage of the variance in the data on each isopycnal explained by the first EOF mode, for each year. The mode 1 spatial pattern for a given year captures a certain amount of the variability in the observed potential temperature field on each isopycnal, which is quantified by converting the first PC to percent variance explained (Appendix). For example, the column for 2004 is calculated from the first PC for 2004 (Figure 4c), and quantifies how well the first EOF mode for 2004 (Figure 4a) captures variations in the observed potential temperature field from 2004. b) The same, but for the second EOF mode.



FIG. 7. a) Correlation coefficients for correlations between the first EOF mode for isopycnal depth for each year from 2003 to 2015 with every other year. Note that the colorscale begins at r = 0.5. To perform the correlations, data are gridded as in Figure 5. b) Grid-average of the first EOF mode for isopycnal depth for every year from 2003 to 2015, for stations with bottom depth >3000 m. The spatial pattern has been scaled to have standard deviation equal to one. Higher (more positive) values correspond to isopycnal depths further down in the water column. Light gray lines are the 500, 1500, 2500, and 3500 m isobaths.



⁷⁹⁰ FIG. 8. a) Potential temperature of the DTML on $\sigma = 28.097$ kg m⁻³ in 2011. b) Potential temperature ⁷⁹¹ field on the same isopycnal reconstructed using only the first two EOF modes. The color scale is the same for ⁷⁹² both fields, and the temporal trend has not been removed from the reconstructed field, to allow a one-to-one ⁷⁹³ comparison. Black lines are the 500, 1500, 2500, and 3500 m isobaths.



FIG. 9. a) Least-squares linear fit to data on $\sigma = 28.01 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ (BSBW), for the advective, τ_1 , and diffusive, τ_2 , terms in (4). Each point is the value from an individual grid box for the grid shown in Figure 7. b) Results for the estimated geostrophic Beaufort Gyre circulation on the same isopycnal, determined using the velocity magnitude calculated from the inverse method and velocity direction determined from the geostrophic streamfunction. Colors show the objectively mapped depth of the $\sigma = 28.01 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ isopycnal. Geostrophic flow is assumed to follow depth contours of a given isopycnal. Light gray lines are the 500, 1500, 2500, and 3500 m isobaths.



FIG. 10. DTML potential temperature on $\sigma = 28.097$ kg m⁻³, objectively mapped as in Figure 3, with black contours from -0.52 to -0.51°C in increments of 0.002°C, showing the roughly longitudinal variation across the central basin, and the influence of warming in the southern basin, near the margins, and on the slope. Red arrows show the assumed path of the boundary current, while blue spirals indicate regions with evidence of enhanced vertical mixing inferred from hydrographic profiles. Light gray lines are the 500, 1500, 2500, and 3500 m isobaths.